

Test Results and Interview Guide

Candidate: Richard Wantsajob

Assessment: Listening for Selling Opportunities (Game-Based,

Spanish)

Completed: October 26, 2024

Prepared for: Sara Maple

Example Company


What's Included

- Overall Score
- Competency Summary Table
- Comparison Matrix
- Detailed Competency Results with Interview Guide

Important Note: The Listening for Selling Opportunities (Game-Based, Spanish) assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.



Overall




Competency Summary

Competency	Score		Interpretation				
Skills/Knowledge (relates to immediate readiness)							_
Listening for Sales Opportunities	92	0	20	40	60	80	100
Sensing Customer Needs	73	0	20	40	60	80	100

Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.





Detail

Richard Wantsajob, rich.wantsajob@gmail.com Candidate: Listening for Selling Opportunities (Game-Based, Spanish) Assessment:

Authorized: October 26, 2024, by Sara Maple, Example Company, qamailsaram.mike@hravatar.com

Started: October 25, 2024, 9:16:13 PM EDT Completed: October 25, 2024, 9:16:13 PM EDT

Overall Score: 82

Knowledge and Skills Detail

This section contains a list of job-related knowledge areas and skills that have been evaluated. Low scores in these areas often indicate that additional learning may be required before top performance can be achieved.

Detail





Description:

It measures the candidate's ability to understand what a customer may be willing to buy in the near future just by hearing a few statements and information from callers on the phone.

Interpretation:

Candidate should achieve superior job performance in this area with little or no training.

Significantly above average ability to identify sales opportunities in the short term just by listening.

Interview Guide

What are some of the techniques you can use to quickly determine what a customer might be willing to buy if you offered a convenient way to do so?







Unable to describe any useful technique. One or two useful techniques.

He mentioned multiple useful techniques.

Sensing Customer Needs

Score: 73



Description:

It measures the candidate's ability to perceive the wants and needs of callers simply by listening to one or more of their brief statements.

Interpretation:

Candidate should achieve above average job performance in this area with little or no training.

Above average ability to identify needs based on information provided by the caller What are some of the techniques you can use to determine what a customer really needs?



confidently

articulate any

valid technique.











Describe one or two valid techniques.

It describes multiple techniques (listening, probing, waiting).



Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this
 assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review,
 interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average)
 and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored
 equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria.
 However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a
 group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time
 the assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can
 always update a report to the current values by clicking on 'Recalculate Percentiles' within the online results
 viewing pages at www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance
 from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores
 above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- Sim ID: 16058-1, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 91, Prd: 7030, Created: 2024-10-26 01:16 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko



Score Calculation Detail

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)			
Listening for Sales Opportunities	92.1210	Z-Statistic	1.8081	50.0000			
Sensing Customer Needs	73.1459	Z-Statistic	0.5431	50.0000			
Weighted Average of Competency Z-Scores:							
Mean applied to Raw Weighted Avg:							
Standard Deviation applied to Raw Weighted Avg:							
Normalized Raw Score:							
Mean:				65.0000			
Standard Deviation Us	sed:			15.0000			
Final Overall Score:				82.6335			



Notes

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)