

Test Results and Interview Guide

Candidate: Richard Wantsajob

Assessment: Manager - Medical and Health Services (US,

Spanish)

Completed: October 26, 2024

Prepared for: Sara Maple


Example Company

What's Included


- Overall Score
- Competency Summary Table
- Comparison Matrix
- Detailed Competency Results with Interview Guide

Important Note: The Manager - Medical and Health Services (US, Spanish) assessment measures key factors related to high performance and tenure in this job. Attribute types measured vary by test, but can include cognitive ability, skills, knowledge, personality characteristics, emotional intelligence, and past behavioral history. This report includes a one page summary, followed by detailed results with an embedded interview guide. Note that these results should always be used as a part of a balanced candidate selection process that includes independent evaluation steps, such as interviews and reference checks.

Overall




Competency Summary





Comparison

Percentile scores indicate how the candidate compares to other test-takers within various groups. The candidate scored equal to or better than the fraction of test-takers indicated by the percentile.





Candidate: Richard Wantsajob, rich.wantsajob@gmail.com
Assessment: Manager - Medical and Health Services (US, Spanish)

Authorized: October 26, 2024, by Sara Maple, Example Company, qamailsaram.mike@hravatar.com

Started: October 25, 2024, 9:15:56 PM EDT Completed: October 25, 2024, 9:15:56 PM EDT

Overall Score: 84


Cognitive Abilities Detail

This section contains a list of job-related cognitive abilities that have been evaluated in a job-like context using simulation technology. Studies have demonstrated that cognitive abilities are highly correlated with job performance for many jobs. Abilities also correlate with problem-solving and the ability to learn quickly.

Detail

Analytical Thinking and Attention to Detail

Score: 98



Description:

This scale indicates both the capacity to think in a thoughtful, discerning way, to solve problems, utilize resources, analyze data, and apply attention to detail. Individuals who demonstrate high amounts of analytical thinking are able to recognize patterns rapidly, navigate through problems, and resolve difficult problems systematically. Individuals who demonstrate high attention to detail produce work products that are consistently accurate and require little checking. They rarely forget schedule commitments or overlook even the smallest details.

Interpretation:

High scores in this area correlate with superior performance for many jobs.

Able to think in a thoughtful, discerning way. Can often solve difficult problems, plan many-featured tasks and projects, organize multiple resources, and analyze complex data. Able to quickly recall and use information when needed or appropriate. Additionally, able to achieve a high degree of thoroughness and accuracy in a work task. Concerned for all areas involved. Work products require little or no review or checking to maintain consistency.

Interview Guide

Describe a time when you were given a problem without a lot of guidance or information. How did you handle that situation?



Unable to problem solve with ease, use a systematic approach, or utilize resources.

Was not

thoughtful.



Demonstrates the ability to (1) problem solve with ease and (2) utilize resources. Doesn't do so systematically. Was thoughtful.

3



5
Demonstrates the ability to (1) problem solve with ease, (2) use a systematic approach, and (3) utilize resources. Was thoughtful.



Knowledge and Skills Detail

This section contains a list of job-related knowledge areas and skills that have been evaluated. Low scores in these areas often indicate that additional learning may be required before top performance can be achieved.

Detail

Interview Guide

Health Care Administration (US)

Score: 84



Description:

Evaluates the candidate's knowledge of U.S. Health Care Administration rules and practices, with an aim to determine the degree of training that will be required before the candidate can be expected to become productive.

Interpretation:

Candidate should achieve superior job performance in this area with little or no training.

Scores indicate a solid working knowledge of U.S. Health Care Administration rules and practices. Candidate is likely ready to be productive without basic training or with immediate entry into advanced training. Likely to be able to mentor others.

How do you stay up-to-date on the latest practices and regulations regarding health care administration?











Does not make an active effort to stay up-to-date

Makes adequate use of one or more resources

Makes effective use of multiple resources

Writing

Score: 75



Description:

The ability to be concise, friendly, and accurate when drafting written communications.

Interpretation:

Above-average writing skills can positively impact performance in many jobs.

Above average. Conveys ideas in a concise and succinct format. See writing sample section of report for raw essay(s) submitted.

- Raw computed score: 80
- Computed score confidence: 75
- Approximate Word Count: 247

Please see below to view the essay submitted.

Are you comfortable when you need to express yourself through writing? Do you feel confident you can get the right message across? Tell me about a project or task where your writing skills were required for success. How did it go?



own writing

speaking.

ability. Prefers







Somewhat confident in

own writing ability.

Writes frequently.





Very confident in ability to write. Has received compliments on clarity of written correspondences.




Personality Characteristics Detail

This section contains a list of personality characteristics that are frequently associated with job performance. Remember, these are not skills and do not indicate the ability to do a job. Rather, they can be used to evaluate the candidate's fit with the general needs of the job and the organizational culture. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Detail

Adaptability





Description:

This scale reflects how accepting a person is of frequent or substantial changes in his or her job requirements. Changing work requirements usually cause stress and put pressure on an individual to adapt. High scorers usually thrive under changing work conditions, while low scorers may burn out or become paralyzed. In more stable job circumstances, high scorers may become bored, while low scorers would remain satisfied.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Thrives on change. Sees self as very flexible and easy-going. However, he or she may often be perceived as disinterested, unmotivated, or too easy-going in times of upheaval.

Interview Guide

Describe a time where you had to adapt to some significant changes at work. How did you feel? How did you handle the change?



1 Feelings: Strong

Dislike or Very Resistant. Weren't able to handle the change or needed significant help.



Feelings: Unfazed or Slightly Resistant. Handled the situation & change only impacted their work in a minor way.




Feelings: Excited or Comfortable. Handled the situation well and in a way that didn't interfere with their work.

W

5

Competitive Spirit

Score: 85



Description:

This scale indicates the degree to which an individual is driven by a desire to win, to achieve objectives, and to outperform their peers. Competitiveness is the tendency to evaluate one's performance in comparison to others. It is characterized by a desire to do better than others, enjoying situations that can lead to a clear winner, and thriving in an environment where people are differentiated by accomplishments.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Driven by competition. Derives significant self-esteem from winning and is willing to expend extra effort to come out on top. Very comfortable competing with peers.

When was the last time you were in a competitive situation, like a contest, at work? How did it go?



Explains a time they were competitive, but story does not

demonstrate a desire to compete.



Explains a time they were competitive. Story shows an average desire to compete.

3



Explains a recent time they were competitive. Story demonstrates high healthy levels of competitiveness.

5



Integrity Score: 10

0 35 50 65 80 100

Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual acts positively towards the organization, avoids unnecessary risk, and, simply put, does the right thing. High scores on this scale indicate a person will act in the organization's best interest, follow the rules, and work hard under limited supervision. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may engage in risk-taking behaviors, work to undermine the organization, and only do the bare minimum.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area indicates risk of a negative impact on performance for some jobs. Additional probing is strongly recommended.

Distrusts the organization and management. Frequently assumes new ideas or changes will have a negative individual impact. Can be defensive regarding his or her own work, or show hostility towards management or company policies. May take unnecessary risks on the job.

Interview Guide

Do you think it's ever okay to withhold information from your supervisor? How would you judge whether doing so is okay or not?



Answer shows that they are not concerned about ethics or organizational values/rules.



Explains only situational circumstances. Judgement does not stem from an ethical standpoint.

3




Explains only situational circumstances, or no circumstances. Judgement stems from ethical standards.

5

Leader Mindset

Score: 65



Description:

Individuals scoring highly in Leadership Mindset want to be in charge. They confidently take responsibility for their team and expect to be held accountable for results. They understand the importance of trust in both directions and they delegate appropriately. They also know how to motivate individuals to contribute unselfishly to group goals.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Generally prefers to be in charge. Feels that others usually accept their leadership. Has an above average degree of confidence in his or her own leadership ability.

Tell me about a time when your team had to work on a tight deadline. How did you monitor their performance and ensure everyone completed their work on time?



They were unable to provide a clear example that shows their leadership skills.



skills.


3
Their response reflects
1-2 key leadership



Their response reflects multiple key leadership skills (i.e: trust, delegation, motivation, understanding)



Drive



Description:

This scale reflects the degree to which an individual will work hard to achieve goals and solve critical problems in the organization. High scores on this scale indicate a person will be diligent in their work and use all necessary sources to solve problems. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may be unenthusiastic about work and may struggle with complex tasks and challenges.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Motivated by challenging goals and tasks, financial reward, and/or recognition, and willing to work hard to succeed. Focused on understanding and following guidelines, personal achievement, and meeting or exceeding quality and production standards.

Interview Guide

How do you respond when the going gets tough and it seems like you and your team are facing a nearly impossible task?



They are unenthusiastic. They respond by working to their expectations or less due to being overwhelmed.



Their feelings are neutral. They respond by working hard to achieve the goal.



l




They are enthusiastic. They respond by working hard to achieve the goal and by using all necessary sources.



Resilience

Score: 91



This scale reflects the degree to which an individual can withstand adversity, bounce back from difficult events, and be persistent in doing work tasks despite difficulties that come their way. High scores on this scale indicate a person will likely be able to deal with difficult situations with ease, perceive they have control over events in their life, and continue to push forward to achieve goals. Low scores on this scale indicate a person may claim that mistakes/failures were out of their control. Low scorers tend to not cope well with on-the-job stress and don't put in the extra effort to achieve success when

Please note that resilience is not a fixed trait. Unlike many other personality characteristics, resilience can be developed over time. Additionally, multiple factors can influence how resilient a person is within a specific situation. In recognition of these features, additional probing using suggested interview questions is strongly recommended.

Interpretation:

obstacles come their way.

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Responses indicate that the candidate can effectively work through difficulties at work by exhibiting positive emotions, having control over the events, being proactive, remaining hopeful, and learning from the experience. Candidate can always be expected to push forward to achieve their goals, even when obstacles come their way.

Interview Guide

How do you normally react to bad news?



They get upset and don't know how to work through the challenges.



They get upset, however they see the positive outlook and have a plan to fix the challenges.




They are able to see the positive outlook in the long run and it doesn't impact their work.



Teamwork

Score: 90



This scale reflects the degree to which an individual works well with teams and maintains positive interpersonal relationships. High scores on this scale indicate a person will thrive in collaborative team settings and maintain high-quality relationships with coworkers. Low scores on this scale indicate a person will prefer working on individual projects and may struggle to maintain close working relationships with colleagues.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Actively cultivates and maintains relationships. Able to quickly establish rapport with new acquaintances and accurately sense others' feelings. Maintains positive relationships with colleagues and uses them to achieve work outcomes and meet goals.

Interview Guide

Do you prefer working in teams or by yourself? Why?



They choose teams or individual and feel they would be incapable of working in the opposite environment.



They feel they would work well in either environment but are unable to back that up with rational reasons.

W

3



Response reflects rational reasons for why they prefer teams, individual, or both. They feel they would work well in either environment.

W




Emotional Intelligence Detail

This section contains a list of emotional intelligence characteristics that indicate how tuned in a candidate is to his or her own emotions, and those of others, as well as the candidate's ability to control his or her behavior in light of the emotions he or she is experiencing. These traits can often impact performance in groups or teams. Sample interview questions are provided to gather more information.

Detail

Empathy and Emotional Self-Control

Score: 89



Description:

This scale reflects both the ability to sense and understand other people's feelings, feel sympathy for others, and see things from other people's point of view, and the ability to manage the desire to satisfy urges or impulses, showing restraint and managing behaviors to ensure appropriate and effective interactions with others.

Interpretation:

The candidate's score in this area should contribute to enhanced overall job performance.

Demonstrates exceptional strengths in sensing the emotional needs of others, sympathizing with other people's problems, and seeing things from other people's point of view. Likely to be very effective at demonstrating to customers or coworkers that they understand and care about them, resulting in significantly improved customer loyalty, much stronger work relationships, and noticeably reduced levels of conflict in the workplace.

Interview Guide

How important is it to sense what others you are working with are feeling? How do you adapt when you can tell a coworker is upset or excited?



Not important to them. Unable to adapt.



Important to them. Adapt by regulating their emotions to be either professional, caring, OR understanding.



5

Very important to them. Adapt by regulating their emotions to be professional, caring, AND understanding.

Scored Survey Detail

This section provides additional detail on the candidate's scored survey responses. Potential caution areas (if any) are specified in each detail section.

Detail

History Survey - Performance

Score: 94

Description:

Evaluates elements of the candidate's past work and education history to identify indications of high or low performance potential.

Interview Guide

Exhibits past behaviors and achievements that are likely to result in significantly below average job performance. Additional probing in this area is highly recommended.



 \Rightarrow



 \Rightarrow



No examples or rationale given.

Weak connection between past and future.

Clear connection between past and future.



Interview Guide Detail **History Survey -**Tenure What are some of the reasons you have left previous jobs? Score: 79 Description: \$ W Evaluates a candidate's past employment 1 3 5 history and related factors for indications of potentially low job tenure. Many different Circumstances for Reasonable reasons. Blames leaving generally rationale or employer. credible or somewhat circumstances clearly outside outside control. contról.

Writing Sample(s)

During the assessment, the candidate was asked to write one or more passages. The text they wrote is included in the table below for review.

Writing Sample - Question	Response
Please write an essay describing the keys to creative writing.	This is a sample essay. In a real test situation, the candidate or test taker would write an essay as a part of their assessment, in response to the question associated with this entry. All reports will share their writing as received. In some cases, our artificial intelligence engine will process their response to create a numerical score. Our system also checks for plagiarism, both among previously submitted essays, and the broader Internet. Additionally, spelling, grammar, and style checks are performed. Essay typically are from 150 to 600 words. They can be written in response to an explicit question, or they can be free-form responses to general questions.



Identity Confirmation Photos

The following photos of the candidate and any identification were uploaded during the assessment session.

Photo Analysis Results

- Risk:	Medium risk of cheating based on image inconsistencies
- Percent match among processed faces	100%
- Total images processed	17
- Total images with valid faces	14 (82%)
- Total pairs of faces compared	13
- Pairs in which faces matched	13 (100%)









Pre/Post-Test Photo

ID Photo

In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)

In-Test Error Detected (No Face Detected)







In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo



In-Test Photo







Pre/Post-Test Photo



Report Preparation Notes

- Hiring decisions should never be based on a single source of information. The most effective use of this
 assessment report is as a part of a multi-faceted program of candidate evaluation that includes resume review,
 interviews, and reference checks.
- Overall vs Percentiles Scores: The overall score reflects the success in the test, based on the mean (average)
 and standard deviation of the test scores. The percentile score reflects the percentage of test-takers who scored
 equal or below this overall score. We recommend you use the Overall Score as your primary evaluation criteria.
 However, percentile scores can often be useful in comparing specific candidates against one another and with a
 group, such as for test takers in a certain organization or within a certain account.
- Note that comparison information is calculated based on completed instances of this assessment at that time
 the assessment is scored. As additional instances are completed, the comparative data may change. You can
 always update a report to the current values by clicking on 'Recalculate Percentiles' within the online results
 viewing pages at www.hravatar.com.
- Most competency scores are norm-based, which means that they can be interpreted in terms of their distance
 from the average or mean score. For all scales, a score equal to the mean receives a score of 65 and scores
 above and below this value are set so that a score change of 15 equals one standard deviation.
- For linear competencies, higher is better across the entire scale. For these scales a score between 65 and 80 (light green) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation above the mean and a score above 80 (dark green) represents more than one standard deviation above the mean. Similarly, a score of 50 65 (yellow) represents 0 to 1 standard deviation below the mean, while a score of 35 50 (orange) equates to 1 to 2 standard deviations below the mean, and a score below 35 represents more than 2 standard deviations below the mean.
- This assessment makes use of data from the Occupational Information Network (O*NET), which is funded by
 the U.S. Federal Government U.S. Department of Labor/Employment and Training Administration
 (USDOL/ETA) as a primary source of occupational information. The O*NET database contains information on
 hundreds of standardized and occupation-specific descriptors that are continually updated by ongoing research.
 These data are used in preparing descriptive information as well as setting relative weights between
 competencies used in calculating the overall score. For additional information about O*NET, visit
 http://www.onetcenter.org.
- O*Net Standard Occupational Code (SOC) Used: 11-9111.00
- O*Net Version: 26.3
- Sim ID: 16362-1, Key: 0-0, Rpt: 91, Prd: 7362, Created: 2024-10-26 01:15 UTC
- UA: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; Trident/7.0; Touch; rv:11.0) like Gecko



Score Calculation Detail

The following table provides a summary of how the overall score was calculated from the individual competency scores. Competency scores are calculated on a 0-100 scale by first calculating a Z statistic based on test-taker responses and then transforming the Z value to a scale with target mean and standard deviation. Certain competencies have a normal score distribution where it is best to be closest to the mean. For these competencies we modify the Z statistic by multiplying its absolute value by minus 1 for the overall score calculation. Next, to calculate the overall score, a weighted average of all modified competency Z statistics is computed and this weighted average is itself transformed to a Z statistic, which is then transformed to a score with the same target mean and standard deviation. Finally outlier scores are adjusted if they are below 0 or above 100.

Competency	Score	How applied to overall	Score Value Used	Weight (%)
Adaptability	95.4896	Z-Statistic	2.0326	3.5177
Health Care Administration (US)	84.2734	Z-Statistic	1.2849	13.4406
Empathy and Emotional Self-Control	89.9412	Z-Statistic	1.6627	6.7203
History Survey - Performance	94.5193	Z-Statistic	1.9680	6.7203
History Survey - Tenure	79.5541	Z-Statistic	0.9703	6.7203
Competitive Spirit	85.4624	Z-Statistic	1.3642	3.1921
Integrity	10.0000	Z-Statistic	-3.6667	3.8012
Leader Mindset	65.6615	Z-Statistic	0.0441	3.5492
Drive	66.7767	Z-Statistic	0.1184	3.7592
Analytical Thinking and Attention to Detail	98.4585	Z-Statistic	2.2306	28.1663
Writing	75.9813	Z-Statistic	0.7321	13.1046
Resilience	91.5712	Z-Statistic	1.7714	3.7592
Teamwork	90.0695	Z-Statistic	1.6713	3.5492
Weighted Average of C		1.3137		
Mean applied to Raw V		0.0000		
Standard Deviation app		1.0000		
Normalized Raw Score		1.3137		
Mean:		65.0000		
Standard Deviation Us		15.0000		
Final Overall Score:		84.7054		



Notes

(This area is intentionally blank - it's reserved as space for your notes.)